

Minutes of an extraordinary meeting of the Gore District Council, held in the Council Chambers, 29 Bowler Avenue, Gore, on Monday 28 May 2018, at 5.07pm.

**Present** His Worship the Mayor (Tracy Hicks, JP), Crs Bolger, Beale, Davis, D Grant, P Grant, Phillips, Reid and Sharp.

**In Attendance** The Chief Executive (Mr Stephen Parry), Chief Financial Officer (Mr Luke Blackbeard), General Manager Regulatory and Planning (Dr Ian Davidson-Watts), General Manager Infrastructure (Mr Ramesh Sharma), HR/Administration Manager (Susan Jones), Communications Manager (Sonia Gerken), Parks and Recreation Manager (Mr Ian Soper), and two members of the public in the gallery.

---

## 1. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

The Council noted the following amendments:

Page 1, second paragraph, second sentence – add the word “*today*” after “*There would be no debate entered into or decisions made.*”

Page 2 – final paragraph, correction of typographical error “*page*” replacing “*pahe*”

Page 4 – paragraph 10 – addition of the words “*in the eyes of many central Government decision makers*” after “*Cr Highsted said the Council did not have enough debt.*”

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr P Grant, seconded by Cr D Grant, THAT the minutes of the extraordinary meeting of the Gore District Council, held on Thursday 17 May 2018, as amended, be confirmed and signed by the Mayor as a true and complete record.**

## 2. STAFF COMMENT ON SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED TO THE CONSULTATION DOCUMENT FOR THE PROPOSED LONG TERM PLAN 2018-2028 (SC1581)

A report from the Chief Executive had been received.

The Council had circulated three main issues to the public via its consultation document. The report covered the feedback received from submitters on the three specific issues pertaining to the review of the urban rating boundary, dog parks and the form of replacement of the Pyramid Bridge.

### **Urban rating boundary change**

A proposed resolution for the rating boundaries proposed in the Long Term Plan had been tabled at the meeting. The resolution took cognisance of the feedback received from submitters at the hearing held on 17 May.

Cr D Grant asked if a property was within 100m from the Gore and Mataura urban boundary and it was connected to the town water would it be included or not. Some properties in Spittles Way had water fed into a tank but were outside the 100m boundary.

The Chief Executive said under the proposal if a property was within 100m from a water or wastewater main or was only accessible from inside the Gore and Mataura urban boundary, it would be classified as urban if it was less than or equal to four hectares in Gore or five hectares in Mataura.

Cr Beale asked if the submitter who resided at the end of Kakapo Street had a wheelie bin service. The Council had indicated that the size of his property – 12 hectares – would likely be excluded from the proposal.

The Chief Executive said a property over 4 hectares that received all urban services should be noted as urban. If the provision was not included it became a problem justifying the Council's new position. The submitter from Kakapo Street would be affected by clause 2 of the proposed resolution, however, an allowance could be made and a rates remission made, however, if all of the services were provided then they perhaps should be levied for the urban area of the property.

His Worship questioned whether the definitions were clear enough. He said his understanding of the properties referred to by Cr D Grant were different to other properties as far as water was concerned in that the residents had to use pumps to get the water into their houses. He added that there was no rush to finalise the issue at the meeting.

Cr Beale agreed it should not be rushed and perhaps it did need more work. There was some fine tuning needed.

Cr P Grant was happy with it. He understood the properties at Eversfield Rise received water from the town supply for their firefighting tanks. Did they have sewerage connections?

Cr D Grant understood there was a mixture of septic tanks and connections.

His Worship thought the Council should wait and ensure the policy that was adopted recognised the services the affected properties could connect to.

Cr Bolger thought the Council needed to confirm it was going to change the rating boundaries. It had two years to finalise a remission policy.

The Chief Executive said the Council needed to include something in the Long Term Plan, hence the proposed resolution that had been tabled.

Cr Bolger asked if in the two year period that the proposal needed modification, could it be done through a future annual plan?

The Chief Executive confirmed it could. The intent of the proposed resolution was for fine tuning to take place in a rates remission policy. If people were captured and found to be unfairly disadvantaged, they could apply for a remission in their rates to reduce the amount to be paid. He expected a rates remission policy would be drafted over the next 6-9 months.

The Chief Financial Officer added a remissions policy would need to be consulted on.

Cr Beale asked how the process would work if the resolution was passed and how would the process work for those people who were affected by it.

Cr Bolger expected people would submit on the remissions policy. He did not think the Council could do nothing. The rating units that received urban services should in all fairness be considered an urban property. He did not think the Council would disadvantage anyone by passing the resolution and inviting further input from people on a remissions policy.

Cr P Grant thought those who would be included in the urban area with say 4 hectares would want urban services and may choose to subdivide their properties.

Cr Gardyne said some properties had covenants which prevented subdivision. He added that some properties could choose to disconnect from the town water supply for instance which would mean they would be excluded from the coverage.

Cr Davis asked how the remissions policy would work. Would ratepayers receive their rates account and then have to apply for a remission or would it be factored in before it was received.

The Chief Financial Officer said the Council could determine the criteria as to how the remissions policy would work.

In response to Cr Sharp, the Chief Executive said the proposed resolution would clarify the concerns raised by John Sheddan.

His Worship said he was comfortable with progressing the issue provided there was ability for the Council to debate and consider how the remissions policy would work and ensure the definitions were quite clear.

Cr Beale said some people at Eversfield Rise may have a water connection but had to use pumps to pump the water into their house.

The Chief Executive said each submitter would be informed of the Council's decision following the meeting. They would be advised of the intention to develop a remissions policy in the coming months with a view to it being profiled in the next draft annual plan. The Council would communicate further with those submitters once the policy had been drafted.

His Worship added nothing would change with their rating until the policy had been finalised.

In response to Cr Sharp, the Chief Executive said the proposal was not going to be implemented until 1 July 2020. The remissions policy would be profiled in the draft annual plan in 2019 and further communication with the submitters would be undertaken. Any fine tuning could still take place prior to implementation one year later. There was plenty of time. The draft LTP announced an intention to change the boundaries.

Cr Gardyne thought those properties over 4 hectares in size just needed to opt out of kerbside recycling and they would be automatically excluded.

Cr Reid asked if some ratepayers could opt out of the refuse service.

Cr Beale said other urban ratepayers could not opt out.

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Bolger, seconded by Cr P Grant, THAT the following policy for the determination of rating boundaries be included in the approved 2018/2028 Long Term Plan:**

**1.0 A property will classify as urban if it is less than or equal to four hectares in Gore, or five hectares in Mataura, and:**

**(a) is within 100m of a water or wastewater main (it doesn't have to be connected), OR**

**(b) is only accessible from inside the Gore and Mataura urban boundary.**

**If a property meets either of the criteria above, it still must be within 100 metres of an urban property to become part of the urban rating area. This criteria is to avoid properties well outside the existing urban boundary being captured by the change.**

- 2.0 Notwithstanding the above criteria, if a property is over the area threshold described in clause 1.0 above and receives all urban services, it will be classified as urban, for rating purposes.**
- 3.0 The definition of the term “all urban services” used in clause 2.0 includes all of the following:**
- **Kerbside recycling service;**
  - **Footpath – at least on one side of the street;**
  - **Kerb and channelling;**
  - **Street lighting;**
  - **Connection to water and wastewater.**
- 4.0 This policy will come into effect on 1 July 2020.**

**AND THAT in recognition that some properties in the newly defined urban rating boundary do not currently receive the full range of urban services, a rates remission policy be developed with the objective of providing fairness and consistency for affected properties.**

**2018/55**

#### **Dog parks**

The Chief Executive said staff in the regulatory area of the Council felt a dog park was a useful way of enabling dogs to be socialised and run off the leash which could lead to a reduction in the number of problematic animals.

His Worship asked what the process would be to determine the best site.

The General Manager Regulatory and Planning said he and the Parks and Recreation Manager had considered a suite of sites and they would be referred to the Council for a decision.

In response to His Worship, the General Manager said dog parks had come out of the work done with the dog action plan and the community involvement with that group. A lot of the problems could be alleviated by providing dog parks.

Cr D Grant believed there was a need for a dog park in both Gore and Mataura. The funding was an issue particularly with some of the cost proposed to be met by rural ratepayers. A park was for all sectors of the community to socialise their dogs. He thought the way it was written a lot of people did not understand what was intended.

Cr Beale asked if the estimated \$30,000 cost was for each dog park.

His Worship did not know the detail but imagined a park would be split so large dogs could be separate from small dogs.

The Chief Executive said a park may be in two divisions to allow bigger and smaller dogs to be separated, but the estimate was \$30,000 for each park.

Cr Reid did not think that intention had been made clear to the public.

Cr D Grant asked if local service groups could be invited to assist with the construction of the parks to keep the costs down.

His Worship said that could be investigated. The question was did the Council was one or two dog parks or any at all and if it did, to give the staff some indication of a solution.

Cr Beale did not think dog parks were going to help repeat offenders.

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr P Grant, seconded by Cr Davis, THAT the Council provide two dog parks – one in Gore and one in Mataura.**

**2018/56**

His Worship asked if the Council had an indication of the funding split.

The Chief Executive said it would be allocated to the parks and reserves activity that had its own dedicated funding rate. For dog parks, 21% of the cost would be borne by rural ratepayers. Dog parks would be a new cost so 21% of the loan servicing of the \$60,000 borrowed would be levied on rural ratepayers, with the remainder levied on urban ratepayers.

Cr Davis thought a shorter loan period should be considered, such as five years.

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Davis, seconded by Cr Reid, THAT funding of the dog parks be on the same basis as rates for parks and reserves are split currently,**

**AND THAT any loan period be for a term of five years.**

**2018/57**

### **Pyramid Bridge**

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Gardyne, seconded by Cr Sharp, THAT the Council delay any decision on the format of replacement of the Pyramid Bridge until tenders have been received.**

**2018/58**

### **Submission 2018/02 – Peter Corcoran**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

### **Submission 2018/03 – Greg Rzesniowiecki**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

### **Submission 2018/12 – Regional Tourism New Zealand**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/14 – David Liddicoat**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/16 – Tracy Jones**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/29 - John Barnett**

His Worship said collecting rain water had been raised several times in the past.

The General Manager Regulatory and Planning advised the Subdivision and Land Bylaw was currently being reviewed by staff. A number of Councils had included rain water tanks as part of their consent processes. A report would be provided for the next Committee round.

Cr Gardyne suggested wastewater could be harvested as well.

Cr Phillips said it had been included for industrial properties.

Cr Beale suggested investigating Australian examples and experiences and taking a lead from them.

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/42 – Sarah Gibson**

His Worship said the trails were a wider issue than just the Hokonui trail.

The Parks and Recreation Manager said there were a number of submissions that all linked back to the trails feasibility study.

His Worship said Cr Highsted had suggested a joint committee similar to the association with the Gore A&P, be established to consider the cycling trails. There were plenty of people who were enthusiastic about the trails.

His Worship was keen to see the Hokonui track developed and additional tracks included in the district. He was warming to the suggestion that the Council be a pivot point for moving the projects forward.

Cr D Grant said he had suggested that to Richard Pasco who was very keen for that to happen.

His Worship said it would need to be a wide community group along with Council representation.

The Manager said the feasibility study outlined what options could be implemented reasonably easily. The Hokonui track was three quarters finished and relatively easy to complete. There were others around town that could also be included that were

seen as “low hanging fruit”. He added there was only one trail in Croydon Bush that DoC had approved and that was the Water Race trail. The department was happy to consider other tracks in the future, but the initial track had to be completed to the standard set out by DoC in its agreement with the group.

Cr Beale supported a joint committee being established. There needed to be a local authority involved to keep the momentum going rather than four or five groups trying to do things themselves.

Cr P Grant said the Hokonui track would need more rock and continual weed control.

The Manager said part of the cash in kind provided by the Council included weed control but the current nature of the track prevented staff going end to end on a quad bike. To travel 7kms with a knapsack was not cost effective either. He had requested the Club to get the track in a state so that a quad bike could be used.

The Manager confirmed the submitter had referred to the Gore-Mandeville or Gore-Mataura trails, both of which had been referenced in the trails strategy.

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**The Council requested a report about establishing a joint committee comprising all interested parties, including the Council, staff and stakeholders.**

**Submission 2018/55 – Regional Heritage Railway Museum/Park**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/65 – WellSouth Primary Health Network**

Cr Davis said the Council did not have a Smoke Free policy, although there were signs at reserves and sports grounds.

His Worship said if there was to be a smoke free policy developed then the Council needed to talk with the Maitāwhiri Licensing Trust, GoRetail and the Chamber of Commerce.

Cr D Grant said the signs at the parks were not a smoking ban as such.

The Parks and Recreation Manager said the signs were advisory not regulatory. He added another submitter had suggested any policy include vaping and whether that should be considered as part of the policy.

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted. The Council also requested a policy be developed by staff and referred to it for consideration.



#### **Submission 2018/70 – Amanda Scott**

The submitter had requested the section between two seals on Mountain Road to be sealed.

Cr Gardyne wondered if the request from submitters for Bushy Park Road should be sealed for one lane and have the ratepayers fund it over a number of years.

His Worship said there may be more residential properties on that road but it would not be high density.

Cr Gardyne suggested putting the cost to the ratepayers and they may be prepared to fund it over a ten year period.

Cr Phillips said the Southland District Council had done a number of roads in a single lane sealing programme.

**The Council agreed to proceed with the recommended course of action suggested by the Transport Manager in relation to Mountain Road. In addition, those submitters who requested Bushy Park Road to be sealed, will be given the option of funding a single lane option over a 10 year period through their rates.**

Cr P Grant said ongoing maintenance also needed to be factored in.

#### **Submission 2018/73 – Phillip Tytler**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

#### **Submission 201287/85 – Allistair Meikle**

Cr Bolger said the Council was very conservative in its borrowing.

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

#### **Submission 2018/93 – Andrew Chittock**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

#### **Submission 2018/94 – Jason Harvey**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

#### **Submission 2018/99 – Community Networking Trust**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

#### **Submission 2018/105 – Elizabeth Houliston**

Cr Phillips advised some Exeloos had soap dispensers in the wall.

The Manager advised the Council had Novaloos and dispensers other than on the wall were not an option.

Cr Davis asked if the Main street toilets could be trialled with dispensers.

The Manager confirmed they could be.

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/111 – Environment Southland**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/114 – Stephen Fowler**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/115 – Helen Lansdell**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/120 – Heather Fowler and Submission 2018/139 – Alan Fowler**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/124 – Kathryn Cross – Waikaka PEP Talk**

His Worship did not see any impediment to promoting Waikaka as part of the wider District motorhome friendly status.

Cr Gardyne said a drawback was the lack of a dump station.

In response to His Worship, the Parks and Recreation Manager advised the proposed public toilets at Waikaka which were in year two of the LTP would be connected to the sewerage scheme and a dump station could be added.

His Worship asked for a report on the likely costs of including a dump station.

**Submission 2018/134 – Ron and Kate Thornton**

Cr Gardyne said the ratepayer oiled the road quite frequently. He thought it had improved.

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/134 – G&K Cross/B&B Cross/J&L Meehan/I&K Henderson/T&D McPherson**

Previously considered.

**Submission 2018/145 – NZ Motor Caravan Association**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/147 – Fire and Emergency NZ**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/149 – CCS Disability Action**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/156 – Judy MacGibbon**

His Worship said the road had been a construction site for some time with the development of MVM.

The General Manager Infrastructure advised Fulton Hogan would be returning it back to the Council in the near future and would have the road upgraded to a satisfactory standard.

Cr Sharp the road justified having a digger tidy the road up.

His Worship said the road needed to be back to a satisfactory standard for the Council to resume ownership. It had been a long time since it was a two lane road.

His Worship said it was a very low volume road.

Cr Davis thought the safety aspects of the road needed to be reviewed.

The General Manager advised he had instructed Fulton Hogan to ensure the road did not have issues with the water table.

Cr Phillips asked if there was any guarantee for any potential slumping of the trenches.

The General Manager said it depended, but an adverse weather event could impact on the Council.

Cr Sharp said it was a dangerous road. He had had complaints about River Road as well getting more narrow.

His Worship said he knew River Road well and between Diamond Peak and the first bridge the road had been widened significantly. He did not know if there were plans to further extend the width of the road.

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/158 – Pukerau Cemetery Support Group**

His Worship thought there was a lot more interest being shown in ancestry and there were more people visiting cemeteries. He thought there was an opportunity for the

Council to involve the community in all of its cemeteries. The ability to not being able to pre-purchase a plot was a problem. The inability to pre-purchase plots had been raised with him in the past.

Cr Sharp said the Pukerau community was keen to do something for its cemetery and he believed the Council should allow them to do it.

Cr Beale said the Parks Department would end up having to look after the cemetery. The maintenance costs could get out of control.

Cr Davis asked about the road.

The Parks and Recreation Manager said the group proposed to extend the road up East Street which was currently impeded by a large volume spoil from a neighbour. It was a paper road and it was a fairly significant piece of work and would need to be well engineered and well drained. The costs of doing that could be substantial. There was demand driven need at Maitua and Charlton Park cemetery.

Cr Davis asked what the group would be told about the road.

Cr Bolger asked if there was a specification as to what the road should be constructed to and could the Council have the local community undertake it to that level.

The Manager said it could be but future maintenance would likely to fall to the Council.

Cr Sharp believed the group should be permitted to replace the rotten headstones.

Cr Davis said headstones were not the Council's responsibility and the group would not need any approval to rectify those.

In response to His Worship, the Manager said there was an allocation in the LTP for cemetery kiosks to be installed at Gore, Charlton Park, Maitua and Pukerau cemeteries that would include a map and layouts of the cemetery. They would also include a narrative about the establishment of the cemetery and any historical information.

He added since the group had been established, he had met with the group on several occasions and some maintenance tasks had been carried out. He was happy to work with any community groups interested in improving cemeteries.

In response to Cr D Grant, the Manager said pre-purchasing a plot was not permitted under the Cemetery Bylaw.

Cr D Grant thought the Council should revisit the provision.

**Cr Sharp moved THAT the Council grant approval to replace the rotten crosses with plaques and to provide seating and shelter.**

The Manager advised those items had already been agreed with the group and would be implemented. He was more than happy to continue to work proactively with the group. He added the group wanted a more elaborate shelter than what was proposed and the Council funding could go part-way towards it.

**The motion lapsed for want of a seconder.**

The Manager said the group was also keen to have a master plan for the cemetery and he was happy to work with the group to progress it. An old plan had been unearthed which would be the basis for moving forward. The cemetery was over 100 years old. As far as having an area for heritage families that could be difficult as some were interspersed throughout the existing plots.

**Submission 2018/160 – Eric Eaves**

The General Manager Infrastructure said the Council's responsibility ended with the public area of a road. The Council did not undertake work on private property.

Cr Bolger said the area had been a problem for as long as he had been on the Council. He suggested the problem was with the Charlton stream.

Cr Beale suggested whether the problem area was on the road verge or private property. Some years ago he had visited the area with the former Roding Manager, Murray Hasler.

Cr P Grant suggested a proper inspection be carried out of the area. He said the problem may start from the Trotting Club ground.

An inspection would be carried out and a report referred to the Operations Committee.

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/168 – Sally McIntyre**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/166 – Shafts and Wheels Club**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/168 – Richard and Pauline Dore**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/171 – Richard Pasco**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/172 – Christopher Smith**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/173 – Bevan Pearce**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/174 - Enviroschools**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/175 - Gore Wanderers AFC**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/177 – Jayne and Bruce Moffatt**

Cr Beale said there were a number of generous donations made to the Gallery by overseas visitors.

His Worship said he had asked about charging an admission fee some years ago and was told what was received from donations far exceeded what may be generated by an admission charge.

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/179 – Simon Callaghan**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/180 – Daniel Butler**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/185 – Ben Frantz**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/188 – Southern District Health Board**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/190 - Jarrod Hope – Hokonui Bikers**

Cr D Grant said the Hokonui trail was very close to being completed. He supported making an initial grant of say \$30,000 to enable the track to be completed to the standard required by the Department of Conservation. He said mountain biking was a good part of tourism for the District and felt it would be a good investment.

Cr Gardyne agreed there should be initial funding, but supported a group to be formed to review future funding and maintenance costs.

Cr Davis agreed and suggested a business plan be developed for funding beyond the first year.

**Cr D Grant moved THAT the Council approve a grant of \$30,000 for one year,**

**AND THAT a business plan be developed for future funding requests from the group.**

**The motion was seconded by Cr Sharp.**

Cr Gardyne asked if there should be a budget developed with some input from the Council.

His Worship asked if the Parks and Recreation Manager could liaise with the Trust and identify the cost of completing the trail to the Department of Conservation's standard.

In response to Cr Gardyne, His Worship said the funding would come from the grants budget.

**The motion was put and it was carried.**

**2018/59**

**Submission 2018/194 – Federated Farmers of New Zealand**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/196 – Jennifer Lohrman**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/197 – Sue Lennon**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/198 – Peter Hargest**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

Cr Gardyne agreed with the comments to utilise the Rural Special Fund.

His Worship said the Fund would need to consider any requests for funding. He suggested reactivating the Rural Special Fund Committee.

**Submission 2018/203 – Sport Southland**

The proposal to undertake a survey of facility users for recreational and play opportunities could be done via Survey Monkey.

In response to Cr Davis, the Parks and Recreation Manager, said results from an intercept survey would help drive his decisions towards the next Long Term Plan for future recreational opportunities. He said with the Tulloch Park redevelopment some of the items being included in that project could be very attractive.

Cr Davis thought if a survey could be done through Survey Monkey at little cost, it should be undertaken.

Cr Phillips noted there had been some very good points raised in the submission.

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/205 – Pauline Allen**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/207 – Paul Johnstone**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/208 – Blair Lennon**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/210 – Heritage New Zealand**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/220 – Cancer Society**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/223 – Nigel Moore**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

*Cr D Grant departed the meeting at 7.38pm*

**Submission 2018/225 - Gordon Baxter**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/226 – Chris White and Louise Duffy**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/227 – Neil MacLeod**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**Submission 2018/229 – Hamish Weir**

The submission and accompanying staff comments were received and noted.

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Davis, seconded by Cr P Grant, THAT the decisions made at the meeting be incorporated into the Long Term Plan to be adopted at the Council meeting to be held on Tuesday 26 June 2018.**

**2018/60**

The meeting concluded at 7.40pm