



**MINUTES OF AN ORDINARY MEETING OF THE GORE DISTRICT COUNCIL  
HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 29 CIVIC AVENUE, GORE ON  
TUESDAY 26 JUNE 2012, AT 7.38 pm.**

**PRESENT** His Worship the Mayor (Mr Tracy Hicks, JP), Crs Bolger, Beale, Davis, Dixon, Gover, D Grant, P Grant, Highsted, Redhead and Sharp.

**IN ATTENDANCE** The Chief Executive (Mr Stephen Parry), the General Manager, Corporate Services (Mr Russell Duthie), General Manager, District Assets (Mr Paul Withers), Parks and Recreation Manager (Mr Ian Soper), Communications Co-Ordinator (Mrs Sonia Gerken), HR/Administration Manager (Susan Jones) and five members of the public in the gallery.

**APOLOGY** Cr Watt apologised for absence.

---

1. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Clause 8 – Letter of Apology from Councillor Watt (46.18.1/46.6.3)

Paragraph 5, page 9 - The Council *noted the word “sole” should read “soul.”*

Cr Bolger referred to line 17 of the first paragraph (penultimate sentence) on page 8 and asked that the final words be amended to read “... a good and useful Councillor does not require you to criticise everyone and everything just because you may wish to do so.”

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Gover, seconded by Cr D Grant, THAT the minutes of the ordinary meeting of the Gore District Council, held on Tuesday 15 May 2012, as amended, be confirmed and signed by the Mayor as a true and complete record.**

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Beale, seconded by Cr Bolger, THAT the minutes of the extraordinary meeting of the Gore District Council, held on Tuesday 29 May 2012, as presented, be confirmed and signed by the Mayor as a true and complete record.**

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Davis, seconded by Cr Gover, THAT the minutes of the extraordinary meeting of the Gore District Council, held on Tuesday 12 June 2012, as presented, be confirmed and signed by the Mayor as a true and complete record.**

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Redhead, seconded by Cr Beale, THAT the report of the meeting of the Community Services Committee, held on Tuesday 5 June 2012, as presented, be accepted as an accurate and complete record.**

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Dixon, seconded by Cr Highsted, THAT the recommendations contained within the report of the Community Services Committee meeting held on Tuesday 5 June 2012, as presented, be ratified.**

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Davis, seconded by Cr Gover, THAT the report of the meeting of the Operations Committee, held on Tuesday 5 June 2012, as presented, be accepted as an accurate and complete record.**

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Davis, seconded by Cr D Grant, THAT the recommendations contained within the report of the Operations Committee meeting held on Tuesday 5 June 2012 as amended, be ratified.**

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Highsted, seconded by Cr Beale, THAT the report of the meeting of the Regulatory and Planning Committee, held on Tuesday 5 June 2012, as presented, be accepted as an accurate and complete record.**

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Gover, seconded by Cr Redhead, THAT the recommendations contained within the report of the Regulatory and Planning Committee meeting held on Tuesday 5 June 2012, as presented, be ratified.**

Report of the Finance and Policy Committee Meeting

**Cr Bolger moved THAT the report of the Finance and Policy Committee meeting, held on Tuesday 5 June 2012, as presented, be accepted as an accurate and complete record.**

**The motion was seconded by Cr D Grant.**

Clause 4 – Financial Report for April 2012 (1.1.4)

Cr Sharp referred to page 4 and said the comments he made at the meeting had not been recorded.

His Worship asked if there were any particular comments he wanted recorded.

Cr Sharp replied he had said a lot more than what had been recorded and nothing was written.

His Worship asked for specifics.

Cr Sharp said he had read out “we were rebalancing the New Zealand economy away from increased public spending and debt of the previous decade”. That was not in the report. He talked about intergenerational debt meaning that grandchildren would be paying for today’s borrowing. It was not mentioned. He said he had talked about a steadily rising debt and a steadily falling population and debt was a big future problem. Two speakers after him were quoted word for word.

His Worship said there had been a number of issues raised and recalled him mentioned the rebalancing of the economy and intergenerational debt. He thought there should be some mention of it in the minutes. For it to be recorded in the minutes there needed to be some specifics. He took the points he had raised. If Councillors were comfortable with the comments being included, they would be.

Cr Sharp asked why he had not been recorded when the two speakers after him had been.

His Worship said the Committee reports were a summary of what had been said and were not verbatim. The process the Council went through at this meeting was to correct anything that was incorrect or had been omitted from a Committee meeting.

Cr Highsted said his comments were not recorded word for word. He did not accept that the others had been quoted word for word. It was a summary of what he had said at the meeting.

His Worship said the point had been made by Cr Sharp that he had made comments about intergenerational debt and general comments about the economy.

Cr Sharp said the discussion went on for about half an hour. His Worship was quoted word for word.

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Bolger, seconded by Cr D Grant, THAT the report of the meeting of the Finance and Policy Committee, held on Tuesday 5 June 2012, as amended, be accepted as an accurate and complete record.**

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Beale, seconded by Cr Gover, THAT the recommendations contained within the report of the Finance and Policy Committee meeting held on Tuesday 5 June 2012, as presented, be ratified.**

2. URGENT LATE BUSINESS (1.7.4.3)

Cr Redhead asked if he could invite discussion about distribution of funds from the community grants scheme particularly as there were no scheduled Committee meetings in July.

His Worship said he would be surprised if there was not discussion when the LTP was considered for adoption. Failing any discussion around grants at that time, he would accept it as an item of urgent late business. If there was no discussion at the time the Long Term Plan agenda item was discussed, it would be dealt with later in the meeting.

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Redhead, seconded by Cr Davis, THAT the matter be considered as late business.**

**2012/98**

3. REPORT FROM YOUTH COUNCILLORS (50.47.1)

Youth Councillor Joshua Sutton was in attendance and provided a power point on activities undertaken during Youth Week from 21-25 May.

In response to Cr Gover, Cr Redhead said a speed meeting would be held between the Council and Youth Council in the near future on a Council or Committee meeting day. The purpose was to build and fuse the relationship between the Youth Council and the Council.

4. NOTES OF SHARED SERVICES FORUM (46.34.2)

A copy of the draft notes of the Shared Services Forum held on 23 April 2012, had been circulated.

In response to Cr Gover, the Chief Executive advised a suitable project manager had been identified for the building control services proposal and a report would be considered at the next Shared Services forum due to be held in about ten days seeking approval to engage the person concerned. The only caveat was to ensure the project was not usurped

by the Department of Building and Housing and its possible national or regional consenting programme.

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Gover, seconded by Cr Davis, THAT the notes of the meeting of the Shared Services Forum, held on 23 April, be received.**

**2012/99**

5. SOUTHLAND WASTE MANAGEMENT AND MINIMISATION PLAN 2012-2018 (10.18)

A memo had been received from the General Manager, District Assets advising that the Waste Minimisation Act 2008 required territorial authorities to review their waste management and minimisation plan (WMMP) by no later than 1 July 2012. The draft WMMP had been prepared and progressed through the special consultative procedure as determined by the Local Government Act. Six submissions had been received and were heard by the Waste Advisory Group on 17 May. The Group recommended that the WasteNet Councils adopt the Southland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2012-18 subject to the target being increased to 40% diverted materials.

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Bolger, seconded by Cr D Grant, THAT the report be received,**

**AND THAT the Southland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2012-2018 be adopted.**

**2012/100**

6. ANIMAL CONTROL ACTIVITIES REPORT FOR MAY 2012 (34.4.1)

The Council perused a report from the animal control contractor for May.

Cr D Grant referred to the numerous calls about stock owned by the same person being on the road and asked what could be done to prevent it happening again.

The Chief Executive said a course of action could be prosecution but he would need to check with the contractor about what, if anything, had been done to date. The owner could be placed on notice. An update would be provided to a future meeting.

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Davis, seconded by Cr P Grant, THAT the report be received.**

**2012/101**

7. REGULATORY BULLETIN (2.14.3/11.5.2)

A memo had been received from the Chief Executive, together with a schedule of building consents issued for May 2012, with a comparison of the previous two years. A schedule of landuse consents issued as at 18 June 2012 and road closures and stopping had also been circulated.

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Highsted, seconded by Cr Gover, THAT the information be received.**

**2012/102**

8. MATAURA COMMUNITY BOARD REPORT (46.36.2)

A copy of the report of the ordinary meeting of the Mataura Community Board held on 28 May 2012, had been circulated.

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Dixon, seconded by Cr Highsted, THAT the report of the meeting held on 28 May be received,**

**AND THAT the recommendations contained within the report be ratified.**

**2012/103**

9. APPOINTMENT OF COUNCIL REPRESENTATIVES TO OUTSIDE ORGANISATIONS (46.11.1)

A memo had been received from the Chief Executive advising that following the resignation of former Cr Heller at the end of last year, there were some appointments to outside organisations that needed to be reviewed. Former Cr Heller had been appointed to the Dolamore Trust Board, Gore Health Incorporated, Venture Southland Joint Committee and the Combined Courts Association. Since that time, Cr Bolger had been serving on the Venture Southland Joint Committee whilst Cr P Grant had been representing the Council on Gore Health Inc.

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Davis, seconded by Cr P Grant, THAT the Council appoint Cr Highsted to the Venture Southland Joint Committee to replace the previous appointment of former Cr Heller,**

**THAT the Council appoint Cr P Grant to the Board of Gore Health Incorporated to replace the previous appointment of former Cr Heller,**

**AND THAT Cr D Grant be appointed to the Dolamore Trust Board and Combined Courts Association to replace the appointment of former Cr Heller.**

**2012/104**

10. DISTRICT PLAN CHANGES (11.15.1)

A memo had been received from the Planning Consultant following his report to the May Council meeting at which he reported on the content of the changes proposed to the district plan. The Consultant had also advised that consultation was being undertaken with statutory agencies and key groups and individuals with a significant interest in the changes. Details about the purpose of the changes had been provided and a copy of the plan changes had been circulated with the agenda.

The Consultant advised there were some amendments required to his report, as follows:

- Earthworks Rules, agenda page 43 – “silage pits” needed to be included under clause 1(e) of rule 4.13.1.
- Further, agenda page 44, under rule 4.13.1, clause 3 needed to include (c) when referring to the Knapdale water protection zone. The following would be substituted “no earthworks to be undertaken for *the purpose of forming* farm landfills, *silage pits* or offal pits”.
- Subdivision rules, agenda page 47, clause 8.10 (3) needed to be amended to read “any subdivision of land within areas referred to in the exceptions of 2 (a-c) above is a discretionary activity.

In response to Cr Redhead seeking clarification about the size of a residential section going from 400m<sup>2</sup> to 300m<sup>2</sup>, the Consultant advised the original intent within residential areas was to have a 400m<sup>2</sup> minimum and through consultation it had been drawn to his attention that in the previous district scheme the central part of town described in the report allowed sections of 300m<sup>2</sup>.

**Cr Bolger moved THAT the Council adopt plan changes 5–18 for the purpose of public notification, subject to the correction of any minor grammatical errors, and noting the additions referred to by the Consultant at the meeting,**

**THAT subject to 3 below, that the Council resolve that all provisions other than rules take effect from the date of public notification,**

**THAT the Council resolve that rules relating to historic heritage [being the additions to Table 2.5.1 in Plan Change 6] and earthworks within the Knapdale Water Protection Zone [being rules 4.13.1(1)(d) and 4.13.1(3) in plan change 9A] take effect from the date of public notification,**

**THAT the Council resolve that rules, other than those referred to in 3 above, take effect from the date decisions on submissions are released,**

**THAT the Council resolve the size and membership of the Hearing Committee to consider submissions,**

**AND THAT the Council, acting under section 34(1) of the Resource Management Act 1991, delegate to the Hearing Committee authority to consider and make decisions on submissions lodged to the plan changes.**

**The motion was seconded by Cr Davis.**

Cr Highsted asked what the size of the Hearing Committee should be.

The Consultant said the Council's usual procedure on district planning matters was to have a panel comprising solely of Councillors. One had to have Chair certification from MfE training and one half of the panel also needed to have been trained as commissioners.

His Worship said being Chair of the Committee would be quite time consuming. He asked for a volunteer to be Chair.

Cr Bolger volunteered to be Chair.

His Worship asked if there was another suitably qualified person to be involved.

Cr Highsted said he was but wondered given there were two other Councillors also qualified as Commissioners, if they could make themselves available.

In response to His Worship, both Crs Davis and Redhead confirmed their availability to be involved with the process.

The Council agreed that the panel would comprise Cr Bolger (Chairman), Crs Davis, Highsted and Redhead.



**The motion was put and it was carried.**

**2012/105**

**11. RIVER BANK LITTER PREVENTION (10.26)**

A memo had been received from the General Manager, District Assets following the June Operations Committee meeting when a request was made to formulate a small working party that would examine the issue of littering occurring along the Mataura River banks, particularly along River Road.

His Worship said he was interested in being involved. Cr D Grant would also like to be involved.

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr P Grant, seconded by Cr Gover, THAT His Worship the Mayor and Cr D Grant be appointed to the River Bank Litter Prevention Working Party.**

**2012/106**

**12. ADOPTION OF LONG TERM PLAN 2012/22 (1.78)**

A memo had been received from the General Manager, Corporate Services together with a copy of the final Long Term Plan 2012/22 for consideration and adoption. The following changes that had a rating impact had been included:

Contribution to free primary school swim lessons	\$20,000
Additional contribution to Sport Southland operational costs	\$ 3,000

The General Manager advised the auditors wanted the Council to adopt the plan first and the audit opinion would be issued the following day.

His Worship said the Long Term Plan had been dealt with smoothly and he thought Councillors had listened and heard the issues that had been raised by submitters.

Cr Beale referred to page 85, review of maintenance works project - urban, and said Spittles Way and Eversfield Rise should still be included under rural.

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr Beale, seconded by Cr Davis, THAT in terms of Section 93 of the Local Government Act 2002, the Long Term Plan 2012/22 be adopted.**

**2012/107**

**13. RATES RESOLUTION (44.7.1)**

A memo had been received from the General Manager, Corporate Services together with a resolution to set rates under the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002 on rating units in the district for the financial year commencing 1 July 2012.

The General Manager advised a replacement page of the resolution had been tabled at the meeting to reflect the correct due dates for the final payment of each instalment.

**RESOLVED on the motion of Cr D Grant, seconded by Cr Highsted, THAT pursuant to the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002, the Council set the following rates for the financial year commencing 1 July 2012:**

**1. Fixed General Rates**

**a) Uniform Annual Charge**

**A uniform annual charge of \$634.73 (GST inclusive) on each separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit, (a separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit is any portion that can be occupied or used separately from any other parts eg multiple dwellings/flats/commercial buildings. The Council will assess and rate separately used or inhabited parts of a rating unit based on the information in the rating database), set under Section 15 of the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002.**

**b) Southland Regional Heritage Trust rate**

**A uniform charge of \$31.49 (GST inclusive) on each separately used and inhabited part of a rating unit (a separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit is any portion that can be occupied or used separately from any other parts eg multiple dwellings/flats/commercial buildings. The Council will assess and rate separately used or inhabited parts of a rating unit based on the information in the rating database), set under Section 15 of the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002.**

**2. General Rates**

**A general rate, set under Section 13 of the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002, of 0.000163 (GST inclusive) for each dollar of capital value on all rating units in the District.**

**3. Targeted Rates**

**A targeted rate, set under Section 16 of the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002.**

***Valuation based Targeted Rates***

The rate in cents (GST inclusive) for each dollar of capital value in each of the rating areas as follows:

**Gore**

	Per \$ Capital Value
Residential	0.001508
Commercial	0.003765

**Mataura**

	Per \$ Capital Value
Residential	0.000645
Commercial	0.003996

**Rural**

	Per \$ Capital Value
All properties	0.001036

**Heavy Industrial**

	Per \$ Capital Value
All Properties	
Capital Value under \$540,001	0.033256
Capital Value between \$540,001 and \$1,000,000	0.009452
Capital Value over \$1,000,000	0.004800

**Fixed Targeted Rate – Parks and Reserves**

A fixed rate (GST inclusive) on each separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit in each of the rating areas as follows:

**Gore**

	Fixed Charge
Residential	\$266.44
Commercial	
Capital Value under \$87,999	\$429.12
Capital Value between \$88,000 and \$185,000	\$771.80
Capital Value between \$185,001 and \$435,000	\$1,329.04
Capital Value between \$435,001 and \$807,000	\$2,284.53
Capital Value between \$807,001 and \$1,750,000	\$2,871.09
Capital Value \$1,750,001 and above	\$3,395.92

#### Mataura

	Fixed Charge
Residential	\$205.58
Commercial	
Capital Value below \$87,999	\$429.12
Capital Value between \$88,000 and \$185,000	\$771.80
Capital Value between \$185,001 and \$435,000	\$1,329.04
Capital Value between \$435,001 and \$807,000	\$2,284.53

#### Rural

	Fixed Charge
Capital Value under \$129,001	\$174.74
Capital Value \$129,001 and above	\$309.44

#### 4. Water, Stormwater, Drainage and Solid Waste

A targeted rate, set under Section 16 of the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002, for water, stormwater, and drainage as follows:

**\$257.89 (GST inclusive) on each separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit that is connected to either the Gore or Mataura water schemes.**

**\$128.94 (GST inclusive) on each separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit that is not connected, but has the ability to be serviced by the Gore or Mataura water schemes.**

**\$268.47 (GST inclusive) on each separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit that is connected to either the Gore or Mataura drainage schemes.**

**\$134.24 (GST inclusive) on each separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit that is not connected, but has the ability to be serviced by the Gore or Mataura drainage schemes.**

**\$74.22 (GST inclusive) on each rating unit serviced by the Pukerau drainage scheme.**

**\$98.96 (GST inclusive) on each rating unit serviced by the Waikaka drainage scheme.**

**\$49.48 (GST inclusive) on each rating unit not connected but has the ability to be serviced by the Waikaka drainage scheme.**

**\$268.47 (GST inclusive) for the second and every additional water closet and urinal on all non-residential rating units (with the exception of**

educational institutions and designated short-term accommodation premises as determined by the Council) in Gore and Mataura.

A per pan fee of \$268.47 (GST inclusive) for education institutions (as defined in Clause 6 of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002). The number of pans will be assessed on the basis of 6.25% of the total number of staff and pupils at each establishment.

A pan fee of \$134.24 (GST inclusive) for short term accommodation premises (as determined by the Council) for the second and subsequent urinals in Gore and Mataura.

\$98.96 (GST inclusive) for the second and every additional water closet and urinal on all non-residential rating units in Waikaka.

To fund the Solid Waste activity:

\$215.96 (GST inclusive) on each separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit in Gore and Mataura receiving standard bins.

\$185.96 (GST Inclusive) on each separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit in Gore or Mataura receiving small bins.

\$55.80 solid waste network charge.

#### 5. Rural Water Schemes

A targeted rate, set under Section 16 of the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002. Each rating unit serviced by the Otama scheme will be charged a fixed charge (GST inclusive) as follows:

\$200.00 per water unit

\$215.00 per water connection

#### 6. Water Supply charges

All commercial premises in Mataura and Gore, as well as all users of the Otama Water scheme will be invoiced separately for actual water usage.

#### 7. Community Halls

A targeted rate, set under Section 16 of the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002. Each rating unit in the following communities will be charged a fixed charge (GST inclusive) as follows:

<b>Brydone</b>	<b>\$24.22</b>
<b>Mandeville</b>	<b>\$46.00</b>
<b>Otama</b>	<b>\$80.50</b>
<b>Pukerau</b>	<b>\$30.00</b>
<b>Tuturau</b>	<b>\$34.86</b>
<b>Waikaka</b>	<b>\$35.78</b>
<b>Knapdale</b>	<b>\$57.50</b>

**8. Due Dates**

The current year's rates will be collected in four instalments. The due dates for each rates instalment are:

<b>Instalment No</b>	<b>Period Covered</b>	<b>Final Date for Payment</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>1 July to 30 September</b>	<b>31 August 2012</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>1 October to 31 December</b>	<b>30 November 2012</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>1 January to 31 March</b>	<b>22 February 2013</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>1 April to 30 June</b>	<b>31 May 2013</b>

**9. Method of Payment**

Rates can be paid at the main Council office in Civic Avenue, Gore or at the Mataura Service Centre in Bridge Street Mataura. Both these offices are open between 8.30 am and 5 pm Monday to Friday.

Payments may be made in cash or by cheque or EFTPOS. Credit card payments may be made by accessing the Council's website [www.goredc.govt.nz](http://www.goredc.govt.nz).

Electronic payments by direct debit or telephone banking can be arranged by contacting a customer services representative in either Gore (209-0330) or Mataura (203-8115).

**10. Penalties**

Only payments actually received at the Council offices named in (9) above will be accepted as paid on that date.

A 10% penalty will be added to each instalment, or any portion of the instalment, not received by the Gore District Council by 5pm on or before the due date for payment of that instalment.

An additional 10% penalty will be added to any rates that remain unpaid on 1 July following the due date.

Additional 10% penalties will be added at six monthly intervals thereafter on any rates that remain unpaid.

**2012/108**

14. REPORT FROM COUNCILLORS (46.12.9)

Cr Highsted advised he had chaired a community meeting the previous week with Police and community members following a spate of burglaries in the west Gore area. He suggested inviting the Police, Neighbourhood Watch and Community Patrol to a future Council or Committee meeting.

Cr Davis advised she had spent two days in Dunedin attending two separate local government meetings. There seemed to be consensus to

have just one South Island zone instead of two as at present. There was also consensus to form a South Island alliance, separate from the Zone format, to gain more of a South Island voice on a range of issues, including tourism, roading and water.

His Worship advised he, Crs Davis and Sharp had attended a meeting about proposed changes to the Local Government Act. A lot of interesting topics had been raised.

#### 15. LATE BUSINESS – COMMUNITY GRANTS DISTRIBUTION (1.7.4.3)

His Worship said there had been some concern expressed around the way community grants from the Council WERE received and dealt with. It had been raised as part of the LTP process.

The Chief Executive thought it had merit. There were two issues, a policy on grants and a criteria which had to be satisfied before any grant application would be considered by the Council. The only issue he thought about a policy as distinct from a criteria, could create some expectation in sectors of the community that the Council had an active grants scheme and he was not sure the Council wanted to do that.

Cr Redhead felt as the Council distributed funds to community groups, the process was not always adequate and he thought emotion could play a part when considering grants. He thought having a structure around it may take some of the burden off the Council and some criteria or policy would help.

His Worship suggested a document be drafted for consideration by a future Committee meeting.

Cr Davis supported the suggestion. The other thing people needed to remember that it was ratepayers money the Council was distributing and it impacted everybody. The fund was not magically coming out of thin air. It needed strong criteria.

**Cr Redhead moved THAT staff be asked to draft a policy on the distribution of grants funding, to be considered by the Finance and Policy Committee.**

**The motion was seconded by Cr Davis.**

Cr Sharp was concerned about the grants scheme and had been for four years. The Council was giving away \$400,000 a year and he felt it was out of control.

His Worship took his point, but Council giving money away was not correct. The Council invested in community organisations to increase the capability and capacity of the community. He thought what Cr Redhead was suggesting was a better way of approaching it. To simply say the Council was giving money away for no purpose was not correct.

In response to Cr Dixon, the Chief Executive said the showgrounds were jointly owned and managed.

Cr D Grant referred to Cr Sharp's comments and said if there was not a Council or business sector that could give grants to a community, there would not be a community. Through grants being made, it was how clubs were able to survive which helped invest in a lot of people's future.

**The motion was put and it was carried.**

**2012/109**

The meeting concluded at 8:43 pm